UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/695,695	10/29/2003	Andrew C. Kesling	815-1057.C	5076	
LLOYD L. ZIC	7590 03/18/200 KERT	EXAMINER			
79 West Monro	e Street	BUMGARNER, MELBA N			
Chicago, IL 600	303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3732		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/18/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Α	pplication No.		Applicant(s)			
		1	0/695,695		KESLING, ANDREW C.			
		E	xaminer		Art Unit			
		M	elba Bumgarner		3732			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appear	s on the cover shee	et with the co	orrespondence ac	idress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MANAGER, FROM THE MANAGER, GOVERNMENT OF THE MANAGER OF	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a unication. tutory period will a will, by statute, cau	E OF THIS COMMU). In no event, however, ma pply and will expire SIX (6) I se the application to become	JNICATION ay a reply be time MONTHS from the ABANDONED	ely filed the mailing date of this of the control o	•		
Status								
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 13 Febr	uary 2008					
			tion is non-final.					
—	,—							
٥/ك	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>15-17,24 and 25</u> is/are pend	ding in the ap	plication.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>15-17,24 and 25</u> is/are reject	cted						
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	otou.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or al	ection requirement					
ا ال	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or er	ection requirement.	•				
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a)∏ accept	ed or b)⊡ objected	I to by the E	xaminer.			
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drav	wing(s) be held in abe	eyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	is required if the draw	ving(s) is obje	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exam	iner. Note the attac	ched Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P' nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	TO-948)	Paper 5) Notice	ew Summary (No(s)/Mail Dat of Informal Pa				

Application/Control Number: 10/695,695 Page 2

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn. It is noted that applicant asserts that the amendment of October 19, 2007 introduced nominal amendments to the claims in making them consistent with one another and more clearly defining the invention, and has been interpreted as such. The request for reconsideration of February 13, 2008 has been entered and the following is an action on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 15-17 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muller (3,345,745) in view of Lemchen (5,890,892). Muller discloses an orthodontic appliance including a metal appliance body 7 (column 6 line 4) having a buccal-labial archwire receiving side and a lingual side, and a light-permeable polymer resin bonding base 16 (column 2 line 55) molded onto the lingual side of the body such that at least a part of the body is embedded in the base (column 2 line 14) and includes an integral peripheral lip overlapping part of the body. It is known to one of ordinary skill in the art that the disclosed resinous polymers are light-permeable. Lemchen teaches orthodontic polymer resin (column 4 lines 12, 16) the same as disclosed by Muller that is light, heat or chemically cured as known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have the curing polymer resin to be heat or light-curing polymer

Application/Control Number: 10/695,695 Page 3

Art Unit: 3732

resin. The appliance is capable of shipment to a user. Muller shows resin of acrylic or epoxy and the appliance is a bracket. Muller discloses a method of making the orthodontic appliance comprising making the appliance body and molding the polymer resin to the lingual side of the body, the appliance having the features of above.

4. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muller in view of Lemchen and further in view of Kesling (5,263,859). The modified appliance of Muller and Lemchen shows the limitations as described above; however, they do not show a first groove 70 formed in the body and a second groove 71 formed in the base coacting with the first groove to define an opening. Kesling teaches an orthodontic appliance comprising the grooves as claimed (figure 11). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the appliance to have the opening of Kesling in order to be able to support auxiliaries in view of Kesling.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melba Bumgarner whose telephone number is 571-272-4709. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriquez can be reached at 571-272-4964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,695 Page 4

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Melba Bumgarner/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3732